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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sectors</th>
<th>Opportunities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transportation</strong></td>
<td>Aircraft that fly faster and further on less energy. Air traffic control systems that make more efficient use of airspace. Automobiles that are more capable and safer but use less energy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Defense</strong></td>
<td>More capable defense systems; defense systems that make better use of networked fleets of autonomous vehicles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Energy and Industrial Automation</strong></td>
<td>New and renewable energy sources. Homes, office, buildings and vehicles that are more energy efficient and cheaper to operate.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Networking and Information Technology (NIT) have been increasingly used as universal system integrator in human - scale and societal - scale systems.

Functionality and salient system characteristics emerge through the interaction of networked physical and computational objects.

Engineered products turn into Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS): networked interaction of physical and computational processes.
Why Is CPS Significant?

- The share of value of embedded computing components in different industries:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Industry</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2009</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Automotive and airspace systems</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerospace</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health/Medical equipment</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial automation</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telecommunications</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer electronics and Intelligent Homes</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Why is CPS Hard?

Crosses Interdisciplinary Boundaries

- Disciplinary boundaries need to be realigned
- New fundamentals need to be created
- New technologies and tools need to be developed
- Education need to be restructured
Foundations for Convergence: Model-Based Design

Modeling Layer

- **Systems Engineering**: Model-based design has been the state of practice
- **Control Engineering**: Wide acceptance (MathWorks Simulink/StateFlow)
- **Software Engineering**: Increasing acceptance due to OMG’s MDA push and wider availability of tool suites
- **Network Engineering**: modeling networks in abstraction layers (TCP/IP), research linking structural and behavioral properties
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Define Domain-Specific Modeling Languages

**Key Concept:** Modeling languages define a set of well-formed models and their interpretations. The interpretations are mappings from one domain to another domain.

Abstract syntax of DSML-s are defined by metamodels.

A metamodeling language is one of the DSML-s; the same tool can be used for modeling and metamodeling.

MetaGME metamodel of simple statecharts

Model-editor generated from metamodel
Use Precise Structural Semantics...

**Key Concept:** DSML syntax is understood as a constraint system that identifies behaviorally meaningful models. *Structural semantics provides mathematical formalism for interpreting models as well-formed structures.*

**Structural Semantics** defines modeling domains using a mathematical structure. This mathematical structure is the semantic domain of metamodeling languages.

**Arguments for investigating structural semantics:**
- Conformance testing: \( x \in D \)
- Non-emptiness checking: \( D(Y, C) \neq \{nil\} \)
- DSML composing: \( D_1 \ast D_2 \big| D_1 + D_2 \big| D' \text{ includes } D \big| \ldots \)
- Model finding: \( S = \{s \in D \mid s \models P\} \)
- Transforming: \( m' = T(m); m' \in X; m \in Y \)

**Notes on the selected formalism:**
- Term algebra semantics extended with Logic Programming (LP)
- Fragment of LP is equivalent to full first-order logic
- Provide semantic domain for model transformations.

\[
L = \langle Y, R_Y, C, \left[ \left[ \right] \right]_{i \in I} \rangle \\
D(Y, C) = \{ r \in R_Y \mid r \models C \} \\
\left[ \right]: R_Y \mapsto R_Y
\]
**Example Application: Policy Aware Health Information Systems**

Models of information flows, documents, agents, roles

Models of privacy policies (HIPAA)

**Nurses should tag health questions**
\[ G \forall p, q, s, m. \text{inrole}(p, \text{nurse}) \land \text{send}(p, q, m) \land \text{contains}(m, s, \text{health-question}) \Rightarrow \text{tagged}(m, s, \text{health-question}) \]

**Doctors should answer health ques.**
\[ G \forall p, q, s, m. \text{inrole}(p, \text{doctor}) \land \text{send}(q, p, m) \land \text{contains}(m, s, \text{health-question}) \Rightarrow F \exists m'. \text{send}(p, s, m') \land \text{contains}(m', s, \text{health-answer}) \]

Semantic domain for policies and information models are matched:
- structural constraints on models -> structural semantics (these policies can be expressed in the context of models using OCL
- policy models temporal constraints on system behavior -> behavioral semantics + LTL
- the generated system controls information flows and monitors policy violations
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Given a DSML

\[
L = \langle Y, R_Y, C, ([ \phantom{1} ]_{i \in J}) \rangle
\]

\[
D(Y, C) = \{ r \in R_Y \mid r \models C \}
\]

\[
[ \phantom{1} ] : R_Y \mapsto R_Y
\]

Behavioral semantics will be defined by specifying the transformation between the DSML and a modeling language with behavioral semantics.
Implicit Methods for Specifying Behavioral Semantics

\[ D(Y, C) = \{ r \in R_Y \mid r \models C \} \]

\[
\left[ \right] : R_Y \mapsto R_{Y'}
\]

\[ D(Y', C') = \{ r \in R_{Y'} \mid r \models C' \} \]

\[
\left[ \right] : R_{Y'} \mapsto R_{Y''}
\]

C++ Interpreter/Generator

Graph rewriting rules

Representation as AST

Executable Specification

Executable Code

Executable Model (Simulators)
Explicit Methods for Specifying Behavioral Semantics

$D(Y, C) = \{ r \in R_y \mid r \models C \}$

$[ ] : R_y \mapsto R_{y'}$

$D(Y', C') = \{ r \in R_{y'} \mid r \models C' \}$

$[ ] : R_{y'} \mapsto R_{y''}$

Executable Model (Simulators)

Executable Code

Executable Specification

C++ Interpreter/Generator

Graph rewriting rules

Representation as AST
Specifying Behavioral Semantics
With Semantic Anchoring

\[ D(Y, C) = \{ r \in R_y \mid r \models C \} \]

\[
\begin{bmatrix} 
\end{bmatrix}: R_y \mapsto R_{y'}
\]

\[ D(Y', C') = \{ r \in R_{y'} \mid r \models C'' \} \]

\[
\begin{bmatrix} 
\end{bmatrix}: R_{y'} \mapsto R_{y''}
\]

Chen, Sztipanovits, Neema, DATE 2007
Much work needs to be done

- Compositionality and scaling
- Better link between denotational and operational approaches
- Approachable formal framework (such as ASM, SLP, other?)
- Probabilistic models
- Design automation tools for composing DSMLs
- Transitioning…
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Model-Based Tool Chains

**Key Idea:** Use models in domain-specific design flows and ensure that final design models are rich enough to enable production of artifacts with sufficiently predictable properties.

**Impact:** Decoupling design technology from production technology.

Domain-Specific Design Automation Environments:
- Automotive
- Avionics
- Sensors…

Tools:
- Behavioral Sim.
- Analysis
- Verification
- Synthesis

Design Requirements → Domain-Specific Environments → Production Facilities

Mathematical and physical foundations
Tool Chain Composition

**Key Idea:** Ensure reuse of high-value tools in domain-specific design flows by introducing a metaprogrammable tool infrastructure.

**VU-ISIS implementation:** Model Integrated Computing (MIC) tool suite

---

Domain Specific Design Automation Environments:
- Automotive
- Avionics
- Sensors...

Metaprogrammable Tool Infrastructure
- Model Building
- Model Transform.
- Model Mgmt
- Tool Integration

Semantic Foundation
- Structural
- Behavioral
**Tool Chain Example: VCP**

**Key Idea:** Use best-of-breed tools and multiple modeling languages in design flows.

*Abstraction layers are defined by DSML-s of simulation, analysis, and synthesis tools.*

*Design models are refined, transformed, and analyzed in the design flow.*

*Analysis tools are integrated in the design flow by model transformation components.*

**Vehicle Control Platform (VCP)**

Domain Models and Model Interchange:

- **Simulink Stateflow**
- **ECSL-DP GME**
- **DESERT**
- **OSEK/Code**
- **vector**

**Common Semantic Domain: Hybrid Automata**

**Analysis tools are integrated in the design flow by model transformation components.**
**Integration of VCP**

**Key Idea:** Integrate domain and tool specific models through metamodeling and model transformations.

Abstraction layers are defined by formally specified DSMLs.

Metamodels are used for expressing relationship among models used in the design flow.

Models of model transformations specify the “glue” that connect analysis tools to the design flow.
Integrated MIC Tool Suite

Domain independent metaprogrammable tool base for domain specific design flows

Application diversity of the MIC tool suite is huge:
- Aerospace
- Automotive
- Health Information Systems
- Networked system integration
- System security
- ....

The MIC tool suite has been evolving over 20 years

ESCHER Quality Controlled Repository: http://escher.isis.vanderbilt.edu
Significant and sustained research effort

- **U.S.**: Berkeley (Ptolemy, Metropolis); CMU (Checkmate); Eclipse tools (IBM, many contributors); MIT (Alloy); UPenn (Charon); Vanderbilt (MIC)
- **EU**: Verimag (BIP); BUTE (VIATRA); TU Vienna, OFFIS; TU Munich, ...

Lack of major transitioning success in new domains (DARPA’s Meta 2 program is a hope for breakthrough)

Need for broadening application domains (medical, SoS,...)

Need for significant scaling up model management tools
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Model-Based Design

Key Idea: Manage design complexity by creating abstraction layers in the design flow.

Abstraction layers define platforms.
Abstractions are linked through mapping.
Abstraction layers allow the verification of different properties.

Frameworks and Tools for High-Confidence Design of Adaptive, Distributed Embedded Control Systems
MURI Project; Vanderbilt – UC Berkeley, CMU and Stanford
Integration Inside Abstraction Layers: Composition

**Plant Dynamics Models**

**Controller Models**

**Dynamics:** \( B(t) = \kappa_p(B_1(t),...,B_j(t)) \)
- **Properties:** stability, safety, performance
- **Abstractions:** continuous time, functions, signals, flows,…

**Physical design**

**Software Architecture Models**

**Software Component Code**

**Software:** \( B(i) = \kappa_c(B_1(i),...,B_k(i)) \)
- **Properties:** deadlock, invariants, security,…
- **Abstractions:** logical-time, concurrency, atomicity, ideal communication,…

**Software design**

**System Architecture Models**

**Resource Management Models**

**Systems:** \( B(t_j) = \kappa_p(B_1(t_i),...,B_k(t_i)) \)
- **Properties:** timing, power, security, fault tolerance
- **Abstractions:** discrete-time, delays, resources, scheduling,
Integration Across Abstraction Layers: Much Unsolved Problems

Controller dynamics is developed without considering implementation uncertainties (e.g. word length, clock accuracy) optimizing performance.

Assumption: Effects of digital implementation can be neglected

Software architecture models are developed without explicitly considering systems platform characteristics, even though key behavioral properties depend on it.

Assumption: Effects of platform properties can be neglected

System-level architecture defines implementation platform configuration. Scheduling, network uncertainties, etc. are introduce time variant delays that may require re-verification of key properties on all levels.
Challenge to Compositionality: Heterogeneity

- Consequence of the lack of composability across system layers
  - intractable interactions
  - unpredictable system level behavior
  - full-system verification does not scale

- Active research: simplification strategies
  - Decoupling: Use design concepts that decouple systems layers for selected properties
  - Cross-layer Abstractions: Develop methods that can handle effects of cross-layer interactions
Physical layer: Passivity-based design

**Key idea:** Passivity-based design of networked control systems provides robustness to time-varying delays

- Various mathematical definitions
  - A passive system only stores and dissipates energy but cannot generate energy of its own
- Passive systems interact in a stable manner
  - When connected in either a parallel or negative feedback manner the overall system remains passive
- Passive control theory applies to
  - Linear and nonlinear systems
  - Continuous and discrete-time systems
- Easier and safer to control
  - Independent joint PD controller for robotic manipulator
  - Asymptotic stability for set-point tracking
Background on Passivity

- **Milestones:**
  - Wave digital filters (Fettweis, 70’s)
  - Dissipative dynamical systems (Willems, 70’s)
  - Resonator-bank implementation structures (Peceli, 80’s)
  - Teleoperation over the Internet (Niemmeyer, 04)
  - Power junctions (Kottenstette, Antsaklis, 08)

- **Work at ISIS:**
  - Design tool suite for high confidence systems (Eyisi, Hall, Hemingway, Porter, Karsai, Kottenstette, Koutsoukos, Sztipanovits)
Illustration of Passive Dynamics

Experimental Setup

- Two CrustCrawler robotic arms
  - 4 DOF with AX-12 smart servos at each joint
- Novint haptic paddle
- Five networked Windows PCs with Matlab/Simulink

Joint Angle and Reference

- Time delay (Robot 2 and PJ)

![Diagram showing experimental setup and joint angle reference plots]
Need to address more fundamentals:
- extending theories for decoupling
- developing theory of compositionality among system layers (vertical composition)
- extending compositionality for multiple properties, e.g. stability, safety and invariants

Early signs of increased attention
- CPS research programs in US (NSF Center at Vanderbilt/Notre Dame/U. Maryland on Science of System Integration)
- New conference sequence
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Example 1: System of System Integration

Future Combat System

- Heterogeneous
- Open Dynamic Architecture
  - heterogeneous networking
  - heterogeneous components
- Very high level concurrency with complex interactions

• Challenges:
  – understanding and predicting behavior

How to achieve predictability with limited/partial compositionality?
Real-Life SoS Development

- All integration categories are present (component, layer, SoS)
- Systems are evolving along “spiral-outs”
- New technical challenges are emerging and potential solutions need to be rapidly explored
- All layers of the system are subject to modifications, there are no well defined synchronization points in the development process
- Integration is inherently incremental; deployed systems need to be integrated with components on different level of maturity: prototypical and with simulated systems/components.
How Is It Solved Today?

- Systems are integrated when all components are delivered
  - Acquisition pushes in this direction
- Integration means: “Make it working somehow”
- System Integration Labs do not offer support for spiral development
- There is no approach to deal with incomplete specifications and components

System Integration is the highest risk, most expensive, least predictable step in SoS development
Emerging Solution: Model-Based Integration

- Apply Models Early
- Apply Models Often
- Use Every Opportunity
  - Requirements/Architecture Integration
  - Architecture/Design Integration
  - Design Assessment/Verification
  - Prototyping/Scaling
  - Implementation
  - Scaling
  - Testing
Tool Chain for Architecture Exploration in FCS

With Boeing FCS Program
Risk Mitigation: Surrogate Modeling and Synthesis

- Deployment
- Instance Topology
- Networks

Code Generator

"Real" BC Component

System Of Systems Common Operating Environment

With Boeing FCS Program
Example 2: Heterogeneous Simulation Integration

How can we integrate the models?
How can we integrate the simulated heterogeneous system components?
How can we integrate the simulation engines?

AFOSR PRET: C2 Wind Tunnel
Model-based Integration Architecture

“Virtual” Components

Model Integration Layer

- Controller Models
- Network Models
- Org. Models
- Fusion Models
- Env. Models

Models

Run-time

Instrumentation Layer

- Simulink Federate
- OmNet++ Federate
- CPN Federate
- DEVS Federate
- OGRE Federate

Simulation Integration Platform (HLA)

Simulation Data Distribution/Communication Middleware

Distributed Simulation Platform

https://wiki.isis.vanderbilt.edu/OpenC2WT
Experiments: Impact of Cyber Attacks

- **Network attack:**
  - A sub-network with hundreds of zombie nodes attacks a critical router on the main network.
  - Flood attack on udp, tcp or ping
Summary

- Penetration of networking and computing in all engineered systems forces a broad based convergence across engineering disciplines.
- Signs of this convergence is present in many areas from which we discussed two:
  - Design Automation – emergence of metaprogrammable tool suites and multimodeling
  - System Integration – re-integration of computer and systems science
- Model-based design facilitates a necessary convergence among software, system, control and network engineering